EL SAYED. M. AGAG

Six Dedicatory Epigrams "Woman as a weaver"

Among the dedicatory epigrams of Book Six of the Greek Anthology the poems which have been composed for dedications made by ordinary women. These epigrams deal principally with the weavers who dedicate their weaving implements to Athena, the goddess of manual crafts with or without a certain purpose. The relation in which they stand with respect to one another is obvious. In it are reflected the lives of these women, who weary their toilsome life, quit their profession with a full description of weaving tools by professional weavers.

The six epigrams which I believe should be grouped together in this family, are clearly related.

My analysis will start with this epigram by Leonidas of Tarentum. It is the largest and most detailed of all six poems in this family.

"Α΄ Λυκομήδευς παίδες, 'Αθηνώ καὶ Μελέτεια καὶ Φιντώ Γληνίς θ', αἱ φιλοεργόταται, ἔργων ἐκ δεκατας ποτιθύμια, τόν τε πρόσεργον

⁽¹⁾ Pomeroy. S. B., Goddesses, Whores and slaves in Classical Antiquity, New York, (1979), p. 199f.; working in wool was the most characteristic of Greek Woman. Woman of all classes spun and wove in Classical Athens, p. 163; weaving was common all over Egypt, but there were Alexandrian specialties in method of weaving, as Bowman states, p. 222. Theoc. Idyl. XV. 30-2, This poem analyzed by white. H. p. 61.9. Rowland. p. 542f. Bowman. A., Egypt after the Pharoh, London, (1986), p. 222; Theoc. Idyl. XV. 30-2, This is analyzed by White. H., "two textual problems in Theocritus Idyl XV. In Essays in Hellenistic poetry, Amesterdam, (1980), pp. 61-9; Rowlandson. I., "Woman and Society in Greek Roman Egypt. C. R. 50, (2000). p. 542f.

⁽²⁾ Rooms, A., Room's classical Mythology, London. (1980), S. V; Ovid, Amores. III. 2. 52: "artifices in te verte, Minerva, manus".

ἄτρακτον, καὶ τὰν ἄτρια κριναμέναν κερκίδα, τὰν ἱστῶν μολπάτιδα, καὶ τὰ τροχαῖα πανία, κερταστὰς τούσδε ποτιρρογέας, καὶ σπάθας εὐβριθεῖς πολυάργυρα· τὼς δὲ πενιχραὶ ἐξ ὁλίγων ὀλίγην μοῖραν ἀπαρχόμεθα, τῶν χέρας ἀιέν, 'Αθάνα, ἐπιπλήσαις μὲν ὀπίσσω, θείης δ' εὐσιπύους ἐξ ὀλιγησιπύων.

Leonidas of Tarentum 41= AP. VI. 288.

In this poem four weavers dedicated to Athena their implements with which they spin and weave as a tithe of profits. They pray that they may be more prosperous.

The first couplet is devoted to weavers' name, and their father whose name (Lycomedes) appears in the prominent position in the hexameter. The weavers are still girls as " α i $\pi\alpha i\delta\epsilon \varsigma$ " indicated at the beginning of line 1.

Moreover these girls speak as we see from the first person plural, but the ἀπαρχόμεθα verb is delayed to the emphatic final place in the fourth stanza. Then the enumeration of dedicators' names comes (Atheno, Melitea, Phinto, and Glenis), (2) qualified by the adjective φιλοεργόταται which describes their profession as weavers, (3) located at the final position of the verse. In other Hellenistic epigrams this adjective is also applied to women always weavers. Elsewhere the adjective φιλοεργός personifies κερκίς. (4)

The opening words of the hexameter summarize the content of the whole, the weavers offer the tithe of their work. $\delta \epsilon \kappa \acute{\alpha} \tau \alpha \varsigma$ is governed by the preposition $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$; the word $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ holds the initial position. These tithes are

⁽¹⁾ Nicharchus 2= A. P. VI. 285. 8. see Taran. S. L., the art of variation in the Hellenistic Epigrams, Leiden. (1979) p. 119.

⁽²⁾ Gow. A. S. F. – Page. D. L. The Greek Anthology, Hellenistic Epigrams Cambridge, vol. II. (1968), ad. loc.

⁽³⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc; cf. AP. VI. 290. 3; 285. 4. where tithes are offered to different deities.

⁽⁴⁾ cf. AP. VI. 48. 1. see Taran. p. 122.

agreeable, this is sense of $\pi o \tau \iota \theta \circ \mu \iota \alpha$, which is not attested elsewhere. However the weavers purpose and deity's name are reserved for the last couplet.

In the second pentameter we find the enjambment of the first implement $\check{\alpha}$ transpartor announced by the article $t\grave{o}\nu$ in hexameter. $\check{\alpha}$ transpartor here is personified by the adjective $\pi p \acute{o} \tau p \acute{o$

The rest of the pentameter is joined with the following stanza. The shuttle (κερκίδα) heads the third hexameter, a tool which is also here personified by the participle κριναμέναν, $^{(3)}$ which occupies exactly the same position as πρόσεργον and φιλοεργότατα, in the previous lines. On the other hand the shuttle is called μολπάτιδα (a word not attested elsewhere) of the loom (ἀστῶν) the third weaving implement, an allusion to the noise produced by its plucking the warp-thread (ἀτρια) in the pentameter. This metaphor had been applied to κερκίς by Antipater, although with different words in two epigrams. In the end of line we find the adjective τροχαῖα, since it means "spinning" and therefore makes us expect the other element of the enumeration. They are spools $\pi\alpha \nu i\alpha^{(5)}$ (announced by ta in the hexameter) which prominently located at the beginning of the pentameter.

The first words of the next stanza are devoted to the last weaving tool σπάθας qualified by the adjective εὐβριθεῖς which occurs only here. The word πολυάργυπα would match ποτιθύμια in line 3. The hexameter ends

⁽¹⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

⁽²⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc. LSJ. s. v.

⁽³⁾ cf. Antipater 5= AP. VI. 179. 6.

⁽⁴⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

⁽⁵⁾ cf. Nicharchus. 2= AP. VI. 285. 3.

with the adjective $\pi \epsilon \nu \iota \chi \rho \alpha \dot{\iota}^{(1)}$ which describes the girls' life, because the weavers did not earn much money with their hard work.

The rest of the epigram is devoted to the weavers' purpose including the goddess to whom they offer their tools. Their dedications εξ ολίγων ολίην μοῖραν dependent on ἀπαρχόμεθα in line 8.⁽²⁾

In the last hexameter, Athena, the goddess of all manual crafts is invoked with two wishes (cf. the optatives $(\epsilon\pi\iota\pi\lambda\eta\sigma\alpha\iota\zeta)$ and $\theta\epsilon\eta\zeta$), that the weavers pray for future success and they also hope to shun proverty. (3)

This is implied in the words $\hat{\tau}$ $\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\hat{\chi}$ $\hat{\epsilon}$ $\hat{\nu}$ $\hat{\epsilon}$ $\hat{\epsilon}$

The last pentameter bring the second wish (cf. θ ειής) this is stressed by adverb οπίσσω at the end of the hexameter; that may Athena make them rich in breed (εὐσιπύους), which occurs only here, instead of poor in meal ἐξ ολιγησιπυων.

2) Leonidas devoted still another epigram to the women as weavers:

Αὐτονόμα, Μελίτεια, Βοίσκιον, αἱ Φιλολάδεω καὶ Νικοῦς Κρῆσσαι τρεῖς, ξένε, θυγατέρες, ά μὲν τὸν μιτόεργον ἀειδίνητον ἄτρακτον, ά δὲ τὸν ὀρφνίταν εἰροκόμον τάλαρον, ά δ᾽ ἄμα τὰν πέπλων ευάτριον, ἱστῶν κερκίδα, τὰν λεχέων Πανελόπας φύλακα, δῶρον ᾿Αθαναία Πανίτιδι τῷδ᾽ ἐνὶ ναῷ

⁽¹⁾ cf. AP. VI. 283. 3; Leonidas 37=A. P. VI. 302. 1; AP. VI. 355. 1; Meleager 122= AP. VII. 7.

⁽²⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

⁽³⁾ See. Taran. p. 127.

⁽⁴⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

⁽⁵⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

⁽⁶⁾ Leonidas 36=AP. VI. 300, 2.

θῆκαν, Άθαναίας παυσάμεναι καμάτων. Leonidas 42=A. P. VI. 289.

In this poem three sisters dedicate to Athena of their weaving instruments. Unlike the previous piece, these weavers are retiring from their labours.⁽¹⁾

Here the first couplet informs us the weavers' names, parents and their homeland.

In the first hexameter we find an enumeration of the weavers:

(Autonoma, Melite and Boiscion). The father's name philolaides prominently placed in the final position in the line, where as the father's name is the previous epigram held the initial position in the hexameter. Unlike Leonidas, 41 in which the girls and their father's names were distributed in the first couplet, it would seem as if Leonidas here has deliberately concentrated them in the first line.

Meanwhile the names of their mother (Nico) and native country (adjective in this case). Which had no parallel in previous poem, are juxstapposed in the pentameter.

The remainder of the couplet contains an apposition including an invocation to stranger, these weavers are three sisters (cf. $\pi\alpha i\delta\epsilon\zeta$ in Leonidas 41) qualified by the adjective $\kappa\rho\eta\sigma\sigma\alpha\iota$ (their homeland). The word $\theta\nu\gamma\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho\epsilon\zeta$ is separated by the vocative $\xi\epsilon\nu\epsilon^{(3)}$ from $\tau\rho\epsilon\iota\zeta$. It is noted that the address to the stranger has no precedent in the previous poem.

The listing of tools occurs as Leanidas did in the following couplets; which viewed one by one with their weavers. The first weaver (Αὐτονόμα) dedicated her thread-making evervolring spindle. As the previous one ἀτρακτον is the first implement. Moreover in leonidas' poem ἀτρακτον occupies an emphatic position. The poet here has transferred the tool to another emphatic place the end of the hexameter. The spindle is qualified by

(2) cf. AP. VI. 123. 4; 188. 1.

⁽¹⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

⁽³⁾ For the position of same vocative, cf. AP. IX. 744. 2.

the two attributes μιτόεργον (not elsewhere) and ἀειδίνητον, evoke Leonidas' πρόσεργον. They are made prominent by the assonance of o and hmoeoteleuton of three words (τὸν μιτόεργον ἀειδίνητον ἄτρακτον). (1)

The second pentameter clearly points of the second weaver βοῖσκιον with direct reference to τάλαρον, which qualified by the epithet δρφνίταν and the adjective εῖροκόμον where these words represent the weaving theme.

The following hexameter with the anaphora $\dot{\alpha}$ and the enjambment of the pentameter are devoted to the third and last weaver with her professional tool. The poet here transferred the loom ($\dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$) to another emphatic final place in the hexameter, the shuttle $\kappa \epsilon \rho \kappa \dot{\delta} \alpha$ is qualified by adjective $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \iota \nu$, here it is transferred to the instrument in an active sense; ($\kappa \epsilon \rho \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \alpha$) is also personified by the adjective $\epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \tau \iota \nu$, while the appearance of the noun itself delayed to the enjambment in the pentameter, $\dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ resembles $\dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ in the previous poem. Leonidas here has transferred it to the emphatic final place in the hexameter. The rest of the pentameter is devoted to the famous story when Penelope promised to marry one of the suitors when she had finished a shroud for Laertes, and held them off for three years by unpicking what she had woven by day. An allusion brings in a novel point. The phrase is natural in general rather than specifically the $\kappa \epsilon \rho \kappa \dot{\zeta}$ which protected her bed for that period. (5)

The address to Athena to whom the weavers offer their implements is in the last couplet.

⁽¹⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

⁽²⁾ For τάλαρος cf. A. P. VI. 284. 1.

⁽³⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

⁽⁴⁾ cf. Homer. Od. XIX. 137. Kullemann. W., "God and men in the Iliad and Odyssey:; H. S. C. P." 89, (1948). p. 14. recently Clayton B., "Penelope's web" C. R. 55. (2005) pp. 390; Catullus uses the edjective Penelopeus. In XLI. 223; see Fedeli. p. Catullus' 61, Amesterdam. p. 140f.

⁽⁵⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

The hexameter starts with δῶρον (cf. δεκάτας in 41, line 3). બθαναία with θῆκαν in the pentameter is coupled by the title παντίδ (occurs only here), the place where the implents are dedicated is τ ϕ δ, εν να $\hat{\phi}$ the last words of the hexameter. Unlike the previous epigram, the goddess' name is repeated in the pentameter. The genitive καμάτων depends on the participle παυσάμεναι with reference to the profession of which Athena is a patroness.

3- This epigrams is one distich shorter than the previous one.

Let us now analyze the variation by Antipater of Sidon:

Κερκίδα τὰν ὀρθρινά, χελιδονίδων ἄμα φωνὰ μελπομέναν, ἱστῶν Παλλάδος άλκυόνα, τόν τε καρηβαρέοντα πολυρροίβδητον ἄτρακτον, κλωστῆρα στρεπτᾶς εὕδρομον άρπεδόνας, καὶ πήνας, καὶ τόνδε φιληλάκατον καλαθίσκον, στάμονος ἀσκητοῦ καὶ τολύπας φύλακα, παῖς ἀγαθοῦ Τελέσιλλα Διοκλέος ά φιλοεργὸς εἰροκόμων Κούρα θήκατο δεσπότοδι.

Αntipater 4= A. P. VI. 160.

Unlike the two previous poems, Telesilla is here the only weaver. It would seem indeed as if Antipater had deliberately concentrated on the enumeration of the weaving implements.

The first hexameter is headed by the first tool κερκίδα which echoes κερκίδα in Leonidas. 41. 5 and 42. 6. (1)

The rest of the hexameter and the enjambement of the pentameter are devoted to the personification κερκίς, its sound when in use. It begins at dawn because Telesill κερκίς like the swallow set to work early.⁽²⁾ This

⁽¹⁾ For the same emphatic postion, cf. Antipatic A. P. VI. 47. 1; AP. VI. 48. 1.

⁽²⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

metaphor had been applied to the κερκίς by Leonidas although with different words and by Antipater himself in another epigram.⁽¹⁾

The second tool $\iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ resembles $\iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ in the previous epigrams; the genitive $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta \circ \zeta$ presumably depends on $\iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ as Gow-Page state.

The second hexameter ends with ἄτρακτον, which echoes the same emphatic location in Leonidas; 42 line 3 (despite its order), and the initial position in Leonidas 41 line 3. On the other hand, ἄτρακτον is announced by the article τόν and qualified by the adjective καρηβαρέοντα and πολυρροίβδητον.

In the second pentameter we find κλωστήρα, another tool qualified by the adjective εὐδρομον and its yarn ἁρπεδόνας is called στρεπτάς.

The enumeration of the weaver's implements continues in the third couplet. These tools are co-crodinated by $\kappa\alpha$ $\kappa\alpha$ structure.

The hexameter starts with πήνας which echoes πανία in Leonidas epigram 41. 6, and ends with καλαθίσκον preceded by φιληλάκατον another implement (adjective in this case) because as the pentameter informs us "it holds both the raw wool before it is put on the distaff whence it will be drawn out and spun by fingers when detached form the spindle" as Gow-Page maintains.

The last couplet is devoted to the weaver's name, her father, and the goddess to whom Telesilla dedicates her weaving implements. Unlike the two previous epigram, the weaver's name is delayed until the last hexameter. Telesilla is first mentioned accompanied by the adjective $\phi \iota \lambda o \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{o} \varsigma$ which describes her work. The adjective probably borrowed from similar context in Leonidas' 41 and occupies the same emphatic place. Meanwhile the same adjective is also used by Antipater⁽²⁾ proceeded by apposition $\pi \alpha \hat{\iota} \varsigma$, Telsilla's father's name Diocles, is qualified by the

⁽¹⁾ cf. Leonidas 41= AP. VI. 288. 5 and Antipater 43= A. P. VI. 47. 1.

⁽²⁾ cf. AP. VII. 423. 3; the same adjective is applied to κερκίς cf. A. P. VI. 48. 1.

adjective ἀγαθος. Unlike Leonidas' 42 the mother's name and homeland are absent here.

In the last pentameter all these implements are dedicated to the patroness of weavers, κούρα and θήκατο, who is called δεσπότιδί. The genitive ειροκόμων⁽¹⁾ at the beginning of line 8 depends on κοῦρα,⁽²⁾ where the dedication is to Athena as goddess of womanly crofts.⁽³⁾

4- Leonidas' two of previous epigrams seem to have been imitated by Antipater in the following poem:

Παλλάδι ταὶ τρισσαὶ θέσαν ἄλικες, ἰσον ἀράχνα τεῦξαι λεπταλέον στάμον' ἐπιστάμεναι, Δημὼ μὲν ταλαρίσκον ἐύπλοκον, 'Αρσινόα δὲ ἐργάτιν εὐκλώστου νήματος ἡλακάταν. κερκίδα δ' εὐποίητον, ἀηδόνα τὰν ἐν ἐρίθοις Βακχυλίς, εὐκρέκτους ᾳ διέκρινε μίτους ζώειν γὰρ δίχα παντὸς ὀνείδεος ἡθελ' ἑκάστα, ξεῖνε, τὸν ἐκ χειρῶν ἀρνυμέα βίστον.

Antipater 5= A.P. VI. 174

The ascription of this epigram to Antipater has been questioned⁽⁴⁾

Here again we find three weavers dedicated their tools to Athena, but just as in Leonidas weaving implements are numerous. Like Leonidas' 41 Antipater seems to underline the weavers' purpose in the first couplet.

The first hexameter is headed by the name of goddess $(\pi\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha\delta\iota, ...\theta\epsilon\sigma\alpha\nu)$ to when the weavers offer their implements. The adjective τρισσὰι describes these women (cf. τρεῖς Leonidas' 42-2),

(3) Gow-Page. ad. loc.

⁽¹⁾ cf. Leonidas 41= AP. VI. 289. 4. and Gow-Page. ad. loc.

⁽²⁾ cf. Aesch. Eum. 415.

⁽⁴⁾ cf. Aesch. Eum. 415.

however the appearance of their names and the weaving tools are delayed to the second couplet. The girls are of the same age $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\iota\kappa\epsilon\varsigma^{(1)}$

The rest of the couplet is devoted to a concise description of their monotonous work as active weavers. Their monotony is conveyed the homoeoteleuton (τεῦξαι ἐεπιστάμενα) and by alliteration of τ.

The weaver's name and their implements are distributed between the second and third couplet. Unlike the previous epigram we no longer find the girls, parent and homeland. The name of the two first weavers (Demo and Arsinoe) acquire prominence of its initial and final position in the second hexameter. In line 3 Demo is immediately following by the mention of ταλαρίσκον qualified by the adjective εὐπλοκον. The hexameter ends with the second weaver (Arsinoe). This is co-ordinated by μὲν δὲ structure. The girl's ἡλακάτον⁽²⁾ emphatically placed in the final position of the pentameter. The position of ἡλακάταν separated by ἑργάτιν.⁽³⁾

The adjective describes the weaving implement, by ευκλωστου νήματος, the spindle produces it.

The third couplet contains the implements of the third weaver (Bacchylis).

We are already familiar with $\kappa \epsilon \rho \kappa \delta \alpha$ which occurs in the third couplet, because we have seem it scattered at various places in the previous poems.

κερκίδα the opening word in the hexameter occupies the same emphatic initial place as in Leonidas' two previous poems and Antipater's 4 line 1. The κερκίς is here qualified by the adjective εὐποίητον. The rest of the line is devoted to an apposition. The word ερίθοις is governed by the preposition εν, while the weaver's name is delayed to the enjambement in the pentameter.

⁽¹⁾ ἀλιξ is also used by Antipater in the same context. cf. A. P. VI, 206. 10, cf. AP. VI, 155. 1, 207. 9.

⁽²⁾ In the fourth century, Erinna composed a poem about girls growing up, titled. "The Distaff".

⁽³⁾ cf. Leonidas, 42= A. P. VI. 289. 5.

The participle construction κριναμέναν which personifies ἄτρακτον in Leonidas' 41 line 4 has been become a finite verbs δέκρινε. The sense is that Bacchylis with the relative pronoun ἄ parted μίτους

The last couplet is closely related to Leonidas' 41, since it alludes directly to the weavers' purpose, which reserved for the final distich of the epigram. This is clarified by particle $\gamma \alpha \rho$. Each weaver $\hbar \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi \omega \epsilon \nu$. here the prayer marks a determination to earn an honest living from handicraft.

The address is not here like Leonidas 41 to Athena, but $\xi \hat{\epsilon i} \nu \epsilon$ is invoked just as Leonidas' 42 did Moreover $\xi \hat{\epsilon i} \nu \epsilon$ holds emphatic initial location in the pentameter. The rest of the pentameter is devoted to each weavers wish alongside to line without reproach in the hexameter, gaining her living by her hand.

The relevance of the words is indicated by their occurrence between the article $\tau \grave{o} \nu$ and the noun $\beta \acute{t} o \tau o \nu$, the genitive $\chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ is governed by the preposition $\epsilon \kappa$. The participle $\grave{\alpha} \rho \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ describes her future life.

5- Leonidas and Antipater's poems also served as models for the following epigram by Archias:

Αἱ τρισσαί, Σατύρη τε, καὶ Ἡράκλεια, καὶ Εὐφρώ, θυγατέρες Ξούθου καὶ Μελίτης, Σάμιαι. ά μέν, ἀραχναίοιο μίτου πολυδίνεα λάτριν, ἄτρακτον, δολιχᾶς οὐκ ἄτερ ἀλακάτας. ά δὲ πολυσπαθέων μαλεδήμονα κερκίδα πέπλων εὕθροον. ά τριτάτα δ' εἰροχαρῆ τάλαρον οῖς ἔσχον χερνῆτα βίον δηναιόν, ᾿Αθάνα πότνια, ταῦθ' αἱ σαὶ σοὶ θέσαν ἐπγάτιδες. Archias A. P. VI. 39.

The first couplet gives us the weavers' names; parent and homeland.

In hexameter their names (Satyra, Heraclea and Euphorion) announced by article α and qualified by the adjective $\tau \rho \sigma \alpha \iota$ (cf. $\tau \rho \sigma \alpha \iota$ in Antipater's 5 line 1 and $\tau \rho \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ in Leonidas' 42 line 2.).

Just as in Leonidas' poem the weavers, names in exactly the same case occurred in the first line. The hexameter here is co-ordinated by $\tau\epsilon$, $\kappa\alpha$... $\kappa\alpha$ structure.

In Leonidas, the apposition $\theta\nu\gamma\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho\epsilon\varsigma$ holds the emphatic place, the end of the pentameter, Archias has transferred it to another emphatic position the enjambment of the pentameter. The rest of the line informs the reader the names of the parents and homeland $\Sigma\alpha\mu\alpha\iota$ (adjective in this case), which acquires prominence become of its final place.

The second and third distiches are devoted to a detailed enumeration of the weavers' tools. These implements are viewed one by are with the anaphora fo α as Leonidas did in 42.

The first weaver (Satyra) dedicated ἄτρακτον the first implement.

In the second hexameter ἀραχναίοιο μίτου is probably a variation of ἀραχναίοις νήμασι which had already used by Antipater. The spindle is called ... πολυδινεα λατριν. It seems probably that metaphor is attested only here, while the tool itself is transferred to the emphatic location the enjambment of the pentameter. The same implement isf in exactly the same case and position, occurred in Leonidas' (42) fourth line, where as the same tool occupies another emphatic place in Leonidas' 41 the end of the second hexameter. The pentameter closes as it began with another tool belonged to Stayra, ἀλακάτας, which occupies the same emphatic place as Leonidas did (line 4), qualified by the adjective δ ολιχᾶς.

The second weaver (Heraclea) dedicated her κερκίδα. Unlike Leonidas (41, 42) and Antipatir (4. 5) κερκις here holds a less emphatic place. On the other hand κερκίδα is personified by the adjective μελεδήμονα as κερκίδα which was personified by the adjective πολυρροίβδητον in Antipater (4 line 3).

The third weaver (Euphro) dedicated her τ άλαρον, which occupies the same emphatic final place as Leonidas did (42). The basket is qualified by the edjective εἰροχαρῆ, which occurs only here. (2)

In the last couplet we are expressly told that the weavers led unpleasant line and that Athena is the addressee ato whom the girls dedicated their weaving implements.

The poverty which the woman endured is told in the hexameter. In Archias the dedication accompanies a modest livelihood. The hexameter ends with Athena patroness of weavers.

Athena is addressed with the poet title of honor πότνια θέσαν⁽³⁾ echoes θέσαν in Antipatic (5. line).

These tools $\tau\alpha\hat{\upsilon}\tau\alpha$ long supported weavers in their poverty because weavers did not earn much with hard works. The dedication is conveyed by the assonance $\alpha\hat{\iota}$, $\sigma\alpha\hat{\iota}$, $\sigma\alpha\hat{\iota}$.

In Archias the offer accompanies a modest livelihood. (4)

6- More obviously dependent on the above epigrams is the following poem by Philippus.

Κερκίδας ὀρθρολάλοισι χελιδίσν εἰκελοφώνους, Παλλάδος ἱστοπόνου λειομίτους κάμακας, καὶ κτένα κοσμοκόμην, καὶ δακτυλότριπτον ἄτρακτον σφονδυλοδινήτω νήματι νηχόμενον, καὶ τάλαρον σχοίνοις ὑφασμένον, ὄν ποτ' ὀδόντι ἐπλήρου τολύπη πᾶσα καθαιρομένη, σοί, φιλέριθε κόρη Παλλαντιάς, ἡ βαθυγήρως

⁽¹⁾ cf. ταλαρίσκον in Antipater 5. 2.

⁽²⁾ LSJ. s. v.

⁽³⁾ cf. h. h. II. 54; h. h. XXX. 6; h. h. III. 12. 49. Leto is called πότνια; cf. Callimachus h IV. 123 with Mineur's note; cf. also A. P. VI. 340; 356. 2.

⁽⁴⁾ Gow-Page. ad. loc.

Αἰσιόνη, πενίης δῶρον, ἀνεκρέμασεν. Philippus A. P. VI. 247.

Just as in Antipater's Aesione is the only weaver who suspended to Athena her weaving implements, the gift of her poverty.

The enumeration of weaving tools is similar to the previous poems.

The first hexameter is headed by κερκίδας which takes the same emphatic location as in Antipater's 4 line 1. It as in Antipater, the shuttle is personified by the adjective εικελοφώνους. On the other hand ὀρθρολαλόισι χελιδόσιν is an imitation of ὀρθρινά χελιδονίδων in Antipater, piece (4 line 1).

In the pentameter where we are expressly told that Athena herself is a weaver.

The goddess' name $(\pi\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha\delta\circ\varsigma, \text{ cf. }\pi\alpha\lambda\lambda\alpha\delta \text{ in Antipater's 5 line 1}).$ Appears in a prominent position in the second verse, the deity is following by two adjective describe here as a weaver. Meanwhile the compounds π όνου andμιτος normally allude to weaving implements. The lest word $\kappa\alpha\mu\alpha\kappa\alpha\varsigma^{(1)}$ is used only here as an apposition to $\kappa\epsilon\rho\kappa\iota\delta\alpha\varsigma$.

The second couplet is devoted to two weaving implements. In the hexameter κτένα and ἄτρακτον, both prominently placed one in the initial and one in the final position in the line. These implements are co-ordinated by καὶ καὶ structure κτεις here is for dressing the wool; κοσμοκόμην which occurs only here. (2) The ἄτρακτος is qualified by the adjective δακτυλότριπτον which also occurs only here. The adjective σφονλυλοδινήτω applied to νήματι.

The third distich refers to the weaver's τάλαρον qualified by the participle ὑφασμένον. The rest of the couplet is devoted to a vivid description of Aesione's tiresome work.

⁽¹⁾ LSJ. s. v.

⁽²⁾ LSJ. s. v.

The second allusion to Athena is told in the last hexameter. In the first pentameter the reference to Athena as a weaver. In the last pentameter she is $κόρη παλλαντιάς^{(1)}$ (occurs only here), the goddess is invoked.

The weaver hang her tools. Moreover $\kappa \acute{o} p \eta$ is a reminiscent of $\kappa \acute{o} \acute{v} p \alpha$ in Antipater's (4 line 8). In both poems the noun occupies a less emphatic place. Athena is called $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon p \iota \theta \circ \zeta$, the adjective is relevant to the patroness of manual crafts.

Philippus' original point is the reference to the advanced age of Aesione, which indicated in the last hexameter, while the appearance of the weaver's name herself is delayed until the pentameter.

Aesione hang her implements (ἀνεκρέμασε) as a gift (δῶρον) of poverty which is explicit in π ενίης because as in Leonidas' 41 weaver did not earn much money from her hard work.

My analysis of these six epigrams has indicated a number of verbal coincidences which give the impression that are related to each other:

Weaving implements:

ἄτρακτος: Leonidas 41. 2; 42. 3; Antipater of ssidon 4. 3; 5; Archias (AP. VI. 39) 4; Philippus (AP. VI. 427) 3.

κερκίς: Leonidas 41. 5; 42. 6; Antipater 4. 1; 5. 5; Archias (AP. VI. 39) 5; Philippus (AP VI. 247) 1.

ίστός: Leonidas 41. 5; 42. 5; Antipater 4. 2;

ήλακάτη: Antipater 5. 4; Archias (AP. VI. 39) 4;

- Words connected with weaving:

ταλαρίς: Leonidas 42. 4; ταλαρίσκος: Antipater 5. 3; Archias (AP. VI. 39. 6). Philippus (AP. VI. 247. 5).

καλαθίσκος Antipater 4. 5;

πανιον: Leonidas 41. 6.

μιτος: Leonidas 42. 3;

νήμα: antipater 5. 4; philippus (AP. VI. 247. 4).

- 2 Poverty πενίη philippus (AP. VI. 247. 8).
- 3 Verbs of dedication: Leonidas 42. 8. θῆκαν Antipater 4. 8: θήκατο;
 Antipater 5. 1 and archias: θέσαν;
- 4 Woman's age: παῖδες Leonidas 41. 1; άλικες. Antipater 5. 1; βαθυγήρως Pilippus 247. 7.
- 5 Goddess, all these weavers dedicate their tools to Athena.
- 6 Mythical names: Penelope Leonidas 42, 6.

Bibliography

I. Texts and Commentaries:

- Gow. A. S. F. Page. D. L. (ed), Greek Anthology, Hellenistic Epigrams, vol. I, Cambridge, (1965).
-, Greek Anthology, Hellenistic Epigrams, vol. II, Cambridge, (1965).
- Poton, W. R., The Greek Anthology with an English translation, vol. I. (the Loeb Classical Library, London, (1980).

II. General works:

Bowman. A., Egypt after the pharaohs, London, (1986).

Clayton B., "Penelope's web" C. R. 55. (2005) pp. 390-2.

Fedeli. P., Caullus' 61, Amesterdam, (1983).

Kullemann. W., "Gods and men in the Iliad and Odyssey, H. S. C. P. 89, (1985). p. 1-23.

Mineur., Callimachus hymn to Delos, Leiden, (1984).

- Pomeray. S. B., Goddesses, whores and slaves, women in classical Antiquity, New York, (1974).
-, Woman in Hellenistic Egypt, New York, (1984).

Room. A., Room's classical Mythology, London. (1978).

Rowlandson. I., "Woman and Society in Greek Roman Egypt, C. R. 50, (2000).

Taran. S. L., Art and variation in the Hellenistic Epigrams, Leiden. (1976).