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Abstract: In late antiquity, women could carry out various legal and business transactions not necessarily under the supervision or guardianship of men but in the presence of their husbands, or male children, or a relative who usually accompanied them in their transactions to the city authorities or laws. The *Pater Familias* never lost his power over his children, his family, and their possessions. Motherhood was one of the main ways in which women managed to escape custody and gain legal independence. But widows were always considered weak to defend their rights and property and often sought the help of the city's legal authorities. Undoubtedly, women even in late antiquity were much less privileged than men but active members as mothers and wives in the family.
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Abstract: كن النساء في أواخر العصور الرومانية المتأخرة قادرات على إجراء المعاملات القانونية والعبرية المختلفة، ومن دون إشراف أو توجية من الرجال، وبوجود الزوجين، أو الأبناء الذكور، أو الآخرين الذين عادة ما يرفقون في التعاملات مثل السلطات الدينية أو القانونية. وقد تعزز هذه الأسرة بواسطة السلطة الأبوية على أطفالها عائلاتهم وممتلكاتهم، واعتبرت الأمومة إحدى الوسائل الرئيسية التي من خلالها تمكن النساء من عدم الخضوع للوصاية، وتحصول على الاستقلال القانوني، في حين أن الأرامل اعتُبرن في حاجة دائمة لمساعدتهم على الدفاع والحصول على حقوقهم وامتيازاتهم، وكثيرًا ما طلبوا مساعدة السلطات القانونية في ذلك، ومن ثم لا شك في أن النساء حتى في العصور القديمة المتأخرة كانت أقل قدرة من الرجال في الحصول على الحقوق والامتيازات، ولكنهن كن عضوات نشطات في الأسرة كأمهات وزوجات.

الكلمات الدالة: الوصاية على المرأة، سلطة الأب، التحرر، القيم، مصر الرومانية، مصر البيزنطية.
Introduction:

The institution of guardianship of women was mandatory and legally regulated in Greek and Roman society. In Egypt though, this legal reality was much more complex as pharaonic, Greek, and Roman legal practices intermingled\(^1\). The purpose of this paper is to shed light on this complicated reality of women in Egypt in Late Antiquities.

When Alexander the Great conquered Egypt, he brought with him Greek legal practices, which were to be practiced throughout the Hellenistic and Graeco-Roman period by Greek settlers. On the other hand, Egyptian women could continue to follow and practice their pharaonic legal traditions, which would allow them to perform legal and business activities independently. Of course, different legal practices dictated different destinies for the women and assigned different rights to their guardians.

Clearly, a rather complicated legal environment was developed in Graeco-Roman Egypt, by continuing to apply ancient Egyptian legal traditions at the same time as Hellenic legal practices\(^2\) as carried the restricting legal bias for women.\(^3\) And, finally, imperial laws, especially after the *Constitutio Antoniana* in 212 AD, when Roman citizenship was extended to the entire population of the Empire and thus, they had both the privilege and the obligation to follow the Roman legal order.\(^4\)

The Concept of Guardianship:

Speaking of guardianship, the surviving papyri shed light on the tangled legal practices of guardianship, where habits and formalities interacted and contradicted. Needless to say that limits in history and life are blurred and, in our effort, to put this seemingly chaotic human action in order we need to focus carefully on the surviving evidence and the legal rules of late antiquity.

It does not need special justification to support those men and their power over children and women were the very basis of the sociopolitical structure of the Roman reality, whether we are researching Rome or the provinces. The guardianship of children and women, although seemingly similar, were quite different in reality.\(^5\) The guardian of the children was responsible for the upbringing, education and the protection of...
children, while the guardianship of women was directly related to the representation of women in specific transactions.¹

The concept of guardianship goes back to the Greek legal practice, which was practiced equitably by the Romans and the Graeco-Egyptians in Egypt.²

Before we focus on the concept of the guardianship of adult women, we should mention that girls, as boys, were under the domination of their father,³ under the legal institution of patria potestas.⁴

Under ‘patria potestas’, the ‘pater familias’ had lifelong rights over his family and the family property,⁵ and his power over his children ended by emancipation (χειραφέτησις)⁶ or his death⁷. Until the time of Justinian (r. 527-565 AD), the law traditionally supported paternal authority over children born out of wedlock. Roman law always supported the father and gave him the right to expel his children in case of disrespect to their parents.⁸

The Roman patria potestas ended in ‘ἔννομος ἡλικία’ for girls at the age of 12, and for boys at 14, but the guardianship would remain in place until they reached the legal age of 25.⁹ In the case of fatherless children a ‘tutor’ (ἐπίτροπος)¹⁰ would take up this role until puberty and a curator (κουράτωρ)¹¹ up until the legal age (ἐνήλιξ).¹²

---

⁸ Ph. Paschel, Iustiniani Institutiones, Liber primus, Tit 9, In potestate nostra sunt liberi nostri, quos ex iustis nuptiis procreaverimus. 1. Nuptiae autem siev matrimonium est viri et mulieris coniunctio, individuum consuetudinem vitae continens. 2. Ius autem potestatis, quod in liberos habemus, proprium est civium Romanorum: nulli enim alii sunt homines qui talem in liberos habente potestatem qualem nos habemus.
¹⁰ BASP 48. 62. 321 CE. τὸν κητὰ νόμους κ- ι- ca.9 [-ca.? -] ἐπίτροπον ἀποδεῖξε[ία[1] [, ., the hiring of a commissioner was legislated and mandatory for orphans in late antiquity cf. S.P., Scott., The civil law, xiii (Cincinnati: Central Trust Co., 1932), the enactments of Justinian. The code, book v, T 29 With a view to providing for the welfare of natural children. We grant permission to their fathers to appoint guardians for them, to insure the administration of such property as they may have given or bequeathed them in any manner whatsoever; provided this is done within the limits prescribed by Our laws, and the said guardians are confirmed by a competent judge, and then administer the affairs of the guardianship.
¹² J. Keenan, et al, Law and Legal Practice in Egypt from Alexander to the Arab Conquest. A Selection of Papyrological Sources in Translation, with Introductions and Commentary (Cambridge: Cambridge
In a papyrus of the 4th century next to Olympia is the curator where he helps her in legal matters according to the law. In this papyrus, next to Olympia, the curator helps her in legal matters according to the law “…ὑπὲρ Ὀλυμπιανῆς θυγατρὸς Διονυσίου ἀπὸ πριμιπιλαρίων μετὰ Κάστορος κουράτορος” (hand 2) κουράτορος (hand 1) βουλευτοῦ τῆς λαμπρᾶς Ἑρμοπολείτων πόλεως. ἔστιν ἀμφοῖν τοῖν γονέοιν ἡ βοηθ(ουμένη). τοῦ οὖν κουράτορος αὐτῆς τοῦ προονομασμένου Κάστορος ἀπὸ Κάστορος ἀπὸ Βησαρίων καὶ ὑπὸ ἔθετο τῇ νῦν βοηθουμένῃ μετὰ τοῦ κουράτορος καὶ ὅσον ἐπὶ τὴν πίστιν τοῦ γραμματείου τὰ παρὰ συνήσθαι…”

In another papyrus of the 6th century, the state could be appointed the curator by the officials of the city or he had been proposed by the father through his will. “…διὰ σοῦ Φλαυΐου Διοσκόρου, καὶ φροντιστὸς κουράτορος τῆς αὐτῆς ἁγίας διακονίας κατὰ κέλευσιν τοῦ αὐτοῦ σου πατρός.”

In a 4th century papyrus mentioned the appointment of (ἐπιτρόπου). In a papyrus of the 3rd century, we see a petition illustrates the downside of family relationship, an orphan claims that her two uncles had conspired to defraud her of her maternal inheritance. It was common for guardians to injustice the wards. There are many cases in the documents where the guardians hurt the wards and their property. “…παρὰ Αὐρηλίας Διδύμης θυγατρὸς Διδύμου ἀπὸ τῆς λαμπρῆς καὶ λαμπροτάτης Ὀξυρυγχειτῶν πόλεως· τὸ ὑπὸ ξένων ἀδικῖσθαι χαλεπόν, ἀλλὰ τὸ ὑπὸ καὶ ξυγγενῶν χαλεπώτατον…”.

The guardianship was not only a legal obligation, but also a moral duty towards their wards and their social and financial well-being. When minor women reached puberty, they shifted to ‘tutela mulierum’, which was a complicated institution but less controlling than ‘tutela impuberum’, because tutors did not have absolute control over their ward and their property.

University Press, 2014), 177. Cf. CPR.6.76, 2-3 CE .. ὁμοίως οἱ ἐάν μου κληρονόμος γένη [ται ἐν ἐννόμῳ ἡλικία…

1 Chrest. Mitt. 300 (Hermopolis Magna; IV CE).
2 P. Cair. Masp. 1 67096 (Aphroditou, Aphroditopolis 573 CE).
3 P. Oxy. 34. 2713 (Oxyrhynchus; 297 CE).
4 V. Vuolanto, Women and the Property of Fatherless Children in the Roman Empire. In Women, Wealth and Power in the Roman Empire., Setala, P. et. al. Vol. 25. Rome: Acta Instituti Romani Finlandiae. (2002), 205, cf. Papyrological data reveal quarrels between guardians and wards P. Abin 56, P.Cair. Isid. 62, BGU I 98, cf. Rowlandon Jane, and R. Bagnall, Women and society in Greek and Roman Egypt: a sourcebook (Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 2009), 94-95, cf. P. Oxy. 34. 2713 (Oxyrhynchus; 297 CE), παρὰ Αὐρηλίας Διδύμης θυγατρὸς Διδύμου ἀπὸ τῆς λαμπρῆς καὶ λαμπροτάτης Ὀξυρυγχειτῶν πόλεως· τὸ ὑπὸ ξένων ἀδικῖσθαι χαλεπόν, ἀλλὰ τὸ ὑπὸ καὶ ξυγγενῶν χαλεπώτατον. This petition illustrates the downside of family relationship; an orphan claims that her two uncles had conspired to defraud her of her maternal inheritance. It was common for guardians to injustice the wards. There are many cases in documents where the guardians hurt the wards and their property.
5 L. Caldwell, The Female Transition to Adulthood in the Early Roman Empire (Published PhD diss., University of Michigan, 2004), 91. Cf. Scott, The civil law T 28, 2” In Justinian’s law we read that if the father lives after his children come of legal age he could supervise their property if it was necessary. Although the guardian who was legally appointed for you by your father's will was living at the time when you became his heir, still, as another was also legally appointed for you by a codicil, both of them will be your guardians under the will of the testator; unless your father revoked the testamentary appointment by designating the other mentioned in the codicil, for then the latter alone will be your guardian.”
The guardianship of women, either as minors or as adults, was present in Roman Egypt; however it is evident that the status of women changed significantly over the centuries.

In Egypt, according to the local law, ‘patria potestas’ ended for young women with their marriage or by ἀποκήρυξις, while for Roman citizens ‘patria potestas’ continued up until the father’s death or by emancipation (χειραφέτησιν). In other words, Roman women were expected to have a guardian to perform legal activities as it will be mentioned.

In a 2nd century papyrus, we see the father denouncing his children and appointing as heir his wife Vereniki “…κοινωνὸς καὶ δανειστὴς ἐν Ἀλεξανδρείᾳ ἀποκηρύξας τὰ τέκνα ἐπὶ καταγνώσει διάδοχον ἔσχε τὴν γυναῖκα Βερενείκην…”

In a 2nd century, we see the father having the power to divorce his daughter “... ἐφ’ οὗ ἔνια ὡμολογήθη ὅθεν ἐξουσίαν ἔχων τῆς θυγατρὸς 20κατὰ τοὺς νόμους ὑμᾶς βοηθῆσαι ἐν ἄπασα καὶ γηροκόμους καὶ ὑποτακτικοὺς”

In a document from the 6th century, we can discern the power and autonomy that a father had on his property. The father disinherited his three children from their paternal property and express the worse insults and curses against them “….πρόγραμμα ἀποκήρυξεως τε καὶ ἀπαγορεύσεως, ἀπαθεῖς ἔχων τὰς φρένας καὶ διανοίας, ἐν δημοσίῳ καὶ πρακτικῷ τόπῳ, καὶ τούτῳ διαπέμπωμαι τοῖς πατρολωοῖς μο(υ) υἱοῖς ἕως ὀνόμας καὶ μόνου, φημὶ δὴ Διονυσίᾳ καὶ Ἰωάννῃ καὶ Παυλίνῃ καὶ Ἀνδρέᾳ τοῖς ἀποβολιμαίοις οἰομενοί εὑρεῖν ὑμᾶς βοηθοὺς ἐν ἄπασα καὶ γηροκόμους καὶ ὑποτακτικοὺς”

According to Taubenschlag, ‘νόμος των Αιγυπτίων’ sets a different reality for women; their guardianship could be performed by their parents only if the woman was born in an ‘ἀγράφος γάμος’ and she

---

2. Taubenschlag, *The law of Greco-Roman Egypt* 137, 143; cf. Scott, *The civil law*, T17, 5, the father of an emancipated daughter cannot, at will, authorize her divorce.
4. P. Mil. Vogl. 4 229 (Tebtynis; 140 CE).
5. P. Cair. Masp. 3. 67353 (Aphrodisias-Antinoopolis; 6th CE).
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entered an ‘ἄγραφος γάμος’ or if she was born in an ‘ἔγγρᾰφος γάμος’ and she entered into an ‘ἄγραφος γάμος’. A papyrus of the 3rd century states that Aurilia had an unwritten marriage and followed by guardian. “...καὶ συνούσῃ αὐτῷ ἀγράφως γυναικὶ Αὐρηλίᾳ -ca.?- ἀπὸ κόμης Νείλου πόλεως τῆς Ἡρακλείδου μετάδοσι τοῦ Ἀρσινοίτου νομοῦ ὡς ἐτῶν -ca.? - οὐλὴ -ca.? - ἐξειν παρ᾿ αὐτῆς ἀρα’ ἐστὶν ἐαυτῇ ...”2 A reality followed by the Romans as well, at least up to the 4th century, where evidence suggests so.3 In the imperial age, the Romans married ‘sine manu’4, meaning that a married woman remained under the legal power of her father rather than her husband.5

In the 6th century, the father is asking for dissolution of his daughter’s marriage because he heard about the gloom disliking things. For example, the father Ioannes had superior authority over his daughter (ὑπεξουσίος). “…ἰνδ(ικτίονος) ἑνδεκάτης. τὸ παρὸν τῆς διαλύσεως ῥεπούδιον διαπέμπομαι ἐγὼ Ἰωάννης πατὴρ Εὐφημίας τῆς ἐμῆς ὑπεξουσίου θυγατρὸς σοὶ Φοιβάμωνι τῷ εὐδοκ(ιμω)τ(άτῳ) μου γαμβρῷ, διὰ Ἀναστασίου τοῦ λαμπρ(τάτου) ἐκδίκου ταύτης τῆς Ὀξυρυγχιτῶν πόλε(ως), περιέχων ὡς ὑποτέτακται. ἐπειδὴ εἰς ἀκοὰς ἦλθεν ὅτι εἰς ἔκθεσμα πράγματά τινα παρεμβάλεις ἑαυτόν, ἅπερ οὐδὲ θεῷ οὐδὲ τοῖς ἀνθρώποις ἀρέσκουσιν, καὶ οὐ δέον ἕστιν ταῦτα ἐγ γράμμασιν ἐντεθῆναι, καλὸ ἡγησάμην τὴν μεταξὺ σοῦ καὶ αὐτῆς τῆς ἐμῆς θυγατρὸς Εὐφημίας διαλυθῆναι”6

Among other obligations, the local patria potestas included the selection of a husband for the daughter. However, according to the same source,7 exceptions were observed between the 1st and 6th centuries, where women would choose their husbands independently.8 Notwithstanding exceptions, the guardianship of women was evidently present in Hellenistic and Roman Egypt and the guardians were appointed either as statutory or testamentary, or if the women requested so from the authorities.9 Roman women would apply to the prefect for a guardian and Greek women to the Strategos.10 In documents from Egypt before the Edict of Caracalla (211-217 AD), Roman and non-Roman women requested the appointment of a guardian,11 known as a datio tutoris.

---

1 P.Hamb.3.220 (Arsinoiton Polis, Krokokidolopolis, Ptolemais Euergetis?; 223 CE).
2 P.Mil.Vogl. IV. 229 (Tebytnis; 140 CE).
3 Taubenschlag, The law of Greco-Roman Egypt, 141.
4 Vuolanto, Women and property, 207. ...Cum manu marriage put the woman under the legal authority of the husband while the Sin manu marriage meant the woman remained under the legal authority of her father...
5 P. Hamb 3 220 (Arsinoiton Polis, Krokokidolopolis, Ptolemais Euergetis?; 223 CE). cf. Chr. Mitt 300 (Hermoupolis Magna; IV CE), ...ὁ μὲν κούρατωρ γνώμης γενομένης τῆς βοηθουμένης ἐπὶ συμφώνησις ἐπὶ Αἴλιον Νουμισιανὸν στρατηγὸν τοῦ Ἀρσινοείτου, ἐφ' οὗ ἐνια ὡμολογήθη...
6 P.Oxy.1 129 (Oxyrynchus; 501-600 CE).
7 Taubenschlag, The law of Greco-Roman Egypt, 125.
9 Taubenschlag, The law of Greco-Roman Egypt, 172.
10 I. Mueller, "Lower-class widows and their social relationships: A comparative study of Roman tombstone inscriptions and papyri from Roman Egypt". In Le rôle et le statut de la femme en Égypte hellénistique, romaine et Byzantine, Studia Hellenistica 37, ed. Henri Melaerts and Leon Mooren (2002), 265 - 281; cf. P. Mil.Vogl. IV. 229 (Tebytnis; 140 CE), ... Ἐπὶ ἠδον ἐπὶ Αἴλιον Νουμισιανὸν στρατηγὸν τοῦ Ἀρσινοείτου, ἐφ' οὖν ὡμολογηθηθήθη...
11 Grubbs, Women and the Law “It is in Greek, and from a woman who is not a Roman citizen and who needs a kyrios in order to borrow money. If a woman in Egypt who was not a Roman citizen did not have a kyrios (perhaps because she was a widow without grown male children) and wanted to transact a matter requiring a kyrios’ approval, she would request a kyrios for that one transaction. Through the body of the
In a papyrus of the 3rd century, Aurelia applied to praefect to be appointed guardian Valerio Firmo praef(ecto) Aeg(ypti) ab Aurelia Arsinoe. rogō, domine, [des mihi auctorem e lege Iulia et Titia et ex s(enatus) c(onsulto) ( Aurel(ium)] Erminum. “(ἔτους)] β Παχὼν κε. κόλ(λημα) οδ τ(όμος) εις , ἐρμηνεία τῶν Ῥω[μαϊκῶν·] (hand 2) Οὐαλερίῳ Φίρμῳ ἐπάρχῳ Αἰγύπτου παρὰ Αὐρηλίας Ἀρσινόης. ἐρωτῶ, κύριε, δοῦναί μοι] 5κύριον ἐπιγραφόμενον κατὰ νόμον Ἰουλίον καὶ ὄγια συγκλήτου Αὐρήλιον Ἐρμείνον. ἐδόθη(?) πρὸ βας καλα̣νδῶν Ἰουνίων Αὐτ̣ο̣κ̣ρ̣ά̣[τορι Φιλίππῳ Σεβαστῷ καὶ Τιτιανῷ] υπάτοις. Αὐρηλία Ἀρσινόη Σαραπίωνος ὑπέδεδωκα αἰτουμένη τὸν Αὐρήλιον Ἑρμεῖ̣νον κύριόν μου ἐπιγραφῆναι Αὐρηλία Ἀρσινόη Σαραπίωνος ὑπέδεδωκα αἰτουμένη τὸν Αὐρήλιον Ἑρμεῖ̣νον κύριόν μου ἐπιγραφῆναι.”

According to Roman law, the guardian of an adult woman was needed to perform certain legal and business transactions; sell imperial land, oxen and slaves as well as to make a will, give a dowry, emancipate a slave, or to assume an obligation.2

On the other hand, ancient Egyptian practice suggested women could act freely without a guardian, up until the 1st century AD when the use of Demotic and subsequently the use of Egyptian legal tradition ceased.3 While the Greek law, in the provinces of the Empire, provisioned a guardian’s consent only necessary in selling land or houses but the guardian in the provinces had wider scope as the local traditions steadily and increasingly became affected by Greek legal practice.4 According to Ada Nifosi who researched Demotic and Greek contracts from Egypt, we understand that Roman and Greek guardianships were comparable.5

In Egypt, in the 1st and 2nd centuries, the guardianship of women was legally present and Roman, Greek, and Egyptian legal principles would be followed.6 Towards the end of the 3rd century AD, the legal freedom of Egyptian women disappeared, as did the official use of the Demotic, which meant the use of Egyptian legal practice and tradition was dejected by the Roman administration.7

The legal reality reflected the social reality; where popular ideas depicted women as light minded and ignorant of legal issues and procedures. Gaius confirms that “…for the ancients required women, even if they were of full age, to remain under guardianship on account of the levity of their disposition”.8

1 P. Oxy. XII.1466 (Oxyrhynchus; 245 C.E).
2 Arjava, The guardianship of women, 28.
5 Nifosi, Becoming a woman and mother, 148.
6 Arjava, The guardianship of women, 4-5.
7 Nifosi, Becoming a woman and mother, 235.
8 Gaius, Institutes I.144: Parents are permitted to appoint testamentary guardians for their children who are subject to their authority, who are under the age of puberty, and of the male sex; and for those of the female sex, no matter what their age may be, and even if they are married, for the ancients required women, even if they were of full age, to remain under guardianship on account of the levity of their disposition.
The multi-legal system, which was observed in Egypt had two branches: Imperial law and local law, while the peregrine law itself consisted of two different legal practices; Egyptian traditional legal practice and Greek law.

The very essence of guardianship of children, especially in Late Antiquity was “to protect the patrimony until the heir came of age,” and it would support the same for women who, “given their natural weakness”, needed a guardian to protect their “honor” and the property from alienation. In the 4th century, we see widows protesting for the intransigence of their relatives. “...τὴν σήν βοήθιαν προσδωκῶ ἵνα ἀξιώσι των τρι’ βοῦνων τῶν Γούνθων καὶ ἄρῃ αὐτὰς ἀπὸ τῆς οἰκίας μου, ἐπὶ χήρα γυνὴ εἰμι, κύριέ μου...” In this papyrus, the relatives of the widow.

In another papyrus, Launus, the widow demands to receive her income from her relatives and they do not obey her. “…Τιμόθεος Ἡρωί βοηθῷ χ(αίρειν). ἐπειδὴ προσῆλθέν μοι Λαυνοῦ χήρα οὖσα μετὰ καὶ τοῦ υἱοῦ ἑαυτῆς, διεβεβαιώσατο δὲ ὡς τρίτας αὐτὴν ἀπαιτισθαι της ποσότητος, ἵστερ θρησκευτείς τὸν λόγον τὸν ἡμέτερον μόνον τὸ γεινόμενον κεφάλαιον αὐτῶν ἀπαιτῖσθαι καὶ μηδὲν λάβῃς παρ’ αὐτῶν ὑπέρπλεον.” In this document, the weakness of a widow who asked the authorities to protect her from the unjust behavior of her relatives is obvious.

This legal situation gradually changed, and the guardianship of women seems to have reduced institutional power and after 230 AD guardians become rare. While Imperial law put women under a close relative who would serve as a guardian, there was also wide use of the Augustan Ius Trium / Quatrum Liberorum till Late Antiquity (“right of three children” / or “right of four children” for non-Romanized women), which would set women free from guardianship.

Egyptian papyri provide evidence of women who act without a kyrios (guardian) on the grounds of the ius trium liberorum, which gave women legal independence. The Ius Ius Liberorum cited women until 389 CE.

Sheridan in her analysis shows that mostly women from the Bouleutic class would take advantage of the ius trium liberorum, as the legal process to obtain it and the

---

1 J. L. Alonso, "The status of peregrine law in Egypt", Journal of Juristic Papyrology 43 (2013): 351-404 (2015) ‘customary law’ and legal pluralism in the Roman Empire, papyrology AD 2013 27th International Congress of Papyrology, 351–404, 353-355. The family and the inheritance law in Roman Egypt was according the Peregrine law, Cf. Yiftach, Law in Ptolemaic and Roman Egypt, 10, 58. The Ptolemaic and Roman states recognized the gaps in their own legislative activity and therefore recognized alternative sources of law. In the same way, the authors of the contracts were ready to recognize the gaps in their contracts, which had to be regulated by other means, namely law and custom that was the peregrine law.

2 R. Saller, Patriarchy, property and death in the Roman Family (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009), 156.

3 P. Herm. 17, 4 (375 - 399?; CE).

4 BGU 2 412 (?; 4th CE).

5 Arjava, The guardianship of women, 28.

6 Keenan, Law and Legal Practice 177.

7 BGU 1 96 (Arsinoite; 250-300 CE), cf. BGU 3 863 (Arsinoite; 201-300 CE), cf. CPR 7 14 (Hermopolis Magna; 305 CE), P. Aberd 180 (?; 305-325 CE).

8 Grubbs, Women and the Law, 36.

associated fees could be quite a financial burden. In addition, women from the Bouleutic class, as well as wealthy women of any class, would have reasons to chase their legal freedom.¹

The law, also, did not set any prerequisites (e.g. literacy), although we have evidence where a woman “adds...that she is also literate”² for the sake of status.

For women, we can arguably support that marriage was a key step towards their legal independence, as through marriage they became mothers and by fulfilling their reproductive role were granted rights and respect by virtue of motherhood.³

Papyrological data suggest that women stated that acted without a κύριος up to the 7th century, the last such papyrus is dated 570 A.D ....ca..- χωρὶς κυρίου10[ -ca.?- χρηματίζουσα ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς πόλεως χ(αίρειν) ὁμολογῷ με]μισθῶσθαι ¹¹ But evidence and researchers agree that in late antique Egypt only widows acted without a guardian, and when guardians appear, they are the husbands.⁵

But as these applied to legal tutelage and women’s legal freedom, a distinction between the private and social freedom of women should be made; women seem to have had a set of obligations and rights within the household, although the power of the mother was not legally established in Roman law.⁶

To sum up, from 212 A.D onwards and by the 3rd century guardianship of women had been diminished.⁷ This should be attributed to the fact that all Roman women could could take advantage of the ius trium liberorum,⁸ up until its abolition in 534 CE by Emperor Justinian.⁹

In the meantime, the reign of Constantine (306 - 337 AD) “re-instituted” terms and traditions of guardianship and revised the legal framework of marital law¹⁰, most

---

² Bowman, Egypt after the Pharaohs, 159.
⁴ Sheridan, "Women without guardians...between the 5th to 7th century 43 papyri mention “without kyrios”...cf. SB I 5271, cf. Anal Pap. 23.24.169 (584-585 CE), cf. BGU. 1 96 (Arsinoite; 250-300 CE), [Αὐρηλία Κυριλλοῦ θυγάτηρ Διοσκόρου (?)] γενομένου βουλ(ευτοῦ) τῆς αὐτῆς Ἀρσινοϊ τῶν πόλεως χωρὶς κυρίου χρηματίζουσα κατὰ τὰ Ῥωμαίων ἔθη τέκνω(ν)δικαίῳ εὐδοκεῖ καὶ βεβαιοῖ τῇ γενομένῃ ἀπελευθερώσι. περὶ δὲ τοῦ ταῦτα οὕτως ὀρ[θ]ῶς γεγοναίνε ἐπερωτηθέντες ὡμολόγησα…
⁵ Harper, Marriage and Family, 10
⁷ Vuolanto, Women and property, 208.
⁸ Vandorpe & Waebens, "Women and Gender in Roman Egypt", 420.
⁹ J. B. Moyle, The Institutes of Justinian, Trans. by John Baron Moyle, 5th ed., (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1913). TIT. 9 In potestate nostra sunt liberi nostri, quos ex iustis nuptiis procreaverimus. 1. Nuptiae autem sivé matrimonium est viri et mulieris coniunctio, individuam consuetudinem vitae containens. 2. Ius autem potestatis, quod in liberos habemus, proprium est civium Romanorum: nulli enim alií sunt homines qui tales in libero habant potestatem quem nos habemus. 3 Quí igitur ex te et uxore tua nascitur, in tua potestate est: item qui ex filio tuo et uxore eius nascitur, id est nepos tuus et nepiti, aequo in tua sunt potestate, et pronepos et pronepitis et deinceps ceteri, qui tamen ex filia tua nascitur, in tua potestate non est, sed in patris eius.
importantly that “the minor wife” was under her husband’s guardianship, and agnatic guardianship.¹

The legal provisions preserved in the Constantine, Theodosian, and Justinian Codes are an invaluable source of information for the history of women in the postclassical period of Roman law.² Byzantine rule of Egypt in 324 AD had as a result seen the introduction of a debatable Christian galvanized legislation,³ but tutelage as practiced in the Roman period was never re instituted.

The last time a legal source mentioned guardianship of women was in 293, ²⁴ and from the ⁴th to the ⁶th centuries there are only four cases of women who act with a guardian. In all four cases the guardians were their husbands. On the other hand, during the same period, there are about sixty cases of women who act without a guardian citing the ius leberoum and the last reference is in 389.⁵ Papyri clearly reveal that widows could perform legal activities without a guardian.

By the end of Late Antiquity in Egypt the legal guardianship had disappeared. There are no legal documents which confirm the legal provision for a guardian, but husbands would be needed to be present or give their consent if family property was at stake. To this we should add psychological, cultural, and social trends and patterns which would put men or women in the frame of legal transactions, who would act as synestos, συνεστός.⁶

In a papyrus of 3rd CE, a free woman accompanied by her husband according to the Roman custom. “...(κυρίου χρη(ματίζουσα) τέκνων ὁδικαίως κατά τὰ Ῥωμαίων ἔδη μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ ἑαυτῆς ἀνδρὸς Διοσκορίδου τὸ[ν] ἐν Λύκων τῇ λαμπρᾷ πόλει καὶ Ἀὐρηλία "Ηράκλεια”.

¹ A. Arjava, Women and Law, 117 - 178; cf. Scott. The civil law, T 30, 3 The Lex Claudia having been abrogated by a Constitution of the Emperor Constantine, of Divine Memory, and the right of agnation remaining unimpaired by virtue of the authority of the ancient law, the blood-relatives, that is to say, the brother, as well as the paternal uncle and the other kindred legally authorized, are called to the guardianship of females.
² Harper, Marriage and Family, 2161
³ Jane Rowlandson, Women and society in Greek and Roman Egypt: a sourcebook (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998), 155 – 156; Vandorpe, & Waebens, "Women and Gender in Roman Egypt, 416-417, 420 ... “For the Roman administration the culturally mixed population of Greco-Egyptian inhabitants of the countryside or chora were all considered Egyptian, peregrini Aegyptii or Aigyptioi and chosen to retain only the Greek administration on all levels.” ⁴⁴; cf. women dealing with their own inheritance BGU I 189 (Arsinoite; 7 CE), SB I 5243 (Arsinoite; 7 CE), SB I 5245 (Soknopaiou Nesos; 15 CE).
⁴ Grubbs, Women and the Law, 44; CE Rawson, A Companion to Families, 120 ... Whereas guardianship of women weakened over time, guardianship of children was strengthened and extended. The latter institution received far more attention from the classical jurists than the former. As a result, guardianship of children was a pervasive institution with highly developed rules about care of the child’s property and possibly had widespread economic consequences in as much as it strongly encouraged conservative investment by guardians so as to protect the value of the estate...
⁶ Chr.Mitt.361, 355 Ἀὐρηλία Τηρουτήρου Πασμῆτος μητρὸς Τσενπαχνουμέως ἀπὸ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀἱρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀἱρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀἱρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀهىρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀهىρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀهىρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀهىρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀهىρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀهىρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀهىρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀὐρηλίας Ἀهىρείμα τῷ Πασμῆτος τοῦ Ἐλεφαντίνης πόλεως μετὰ συνεστότος τοῦ κυρίου αὐτής ἄνδρος Λύκων τῆς Ἀجرى

⁷ P.Berl. Moller.1 (Herm<Lykopolite; 300 CE).
Conclusion:

The legal diversity in Egypt and the vague perception of Roman guardianship by the local population had as a result the gradual disappearance of the institution of guardianship of adult women in Egypt as well as in the eastern parts of the Empire.

When there was not any legal necessity for the guardian’s presence, social and traditional norms as well as psychological factors led some women to seek the assistance or presence of a guardian.

Papyrological analysis suggests that women had increased legal independence after the 4th century and up until the end of Antiquity in Egypt. In the few cases a κύριος appears in the documents, it is always the husband which clearly indicates that Roman tutela mulierum had lost its appeal.

However, to understand better the legal environment for women, we should mention that laws from the 4th century and onwards provisioned a different approach towards women and men. Different legal provisions for men and women, different attitudes towards gender were real, expected and accepted.

History should be analyzed in tempore, and modern concepts of equality or independence would offer a biased conclusion which would finally deprive us from understanding the late Antique Egypt. When evidence reveals that women could perform legal and business transactions with guardians, we should interpret it as assistance and not necessarily as superintendence. Finally, women were undoubtedly less privileged legally and the socio-economic structure had men at its basis, but this did not deprive them of being active members within the household and present in the social sphere. But towards the end of Antiquity Imperial legislation moved from men’s single authority to privilege the family, thus we see women more active within the household and being granted rights over children’s guardianship and patrimonial estate. Finally, motherhood and widowhood were the ways women managed to escape guardianship and achieve legal independence.

---

1 Grubbs, Women and the Law, 52
Guardianship of Women in Late Antique Egypt
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